

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/854,666	UCHIDA, KAORU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C. Tran	2134	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Ellen C. Tran.

(3) _____

 (2) J. Warren Lytle, Jr.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 February 2007
Time: 1:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney agreed the following amendment to claims 30 and 31.

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)